

## EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7, 901 N. 5th St., KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

03 AUG -6 PM 1:39

ENVIRORMENTAL PROTECTION

AGENCY-REGION VII REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

Facility Name: Nebraska City Power Plant #1

Location: 100 Central Ave, Nebraska City, NE 68410

Owner/Operator: Lee Turner

(Respondent)

On June 24, 2002, an authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other enforcement action for the violations identified in the Form.

This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and 40 CFR §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b), published at 64 Fed. Reg. 40137 on July 23, 1999. The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$1050.00. This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 CFR Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 CFR Part 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$1050.00 payable to the "Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund," to: "Regional Hearing Clerk, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101". Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check "CWA-07-2003-0118" and the docket number of this case.

**DOCKET NO:** CWA-07-2003-0118

This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent's liability for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

APPROVED BY EPA:

Chief, Emergency Planning & Response Branch, Superfund, Division

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print): Lee ///Ner

Title (print): Operations SupT

Signature: Lee durner

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Robert L. Patrick

Regional Judicial Officer

INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE

Date Muguette, H

## Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990

Company Name	Docket Number: CWA	
Nebraska City Utilities	7 - 2 0 0 3 - 6 1 1 8	
Facility Name	Date	
Nebraska City Power Plant #1	June 24, 2002	
Address	Inspection Number	
100 Central Ave.	F Y - I N S P - 0 2 - 0	
City:	Inspector's Name:	
Nebraska City	Jeff Weatherford	
State: Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:	
NE 68410	Scott Hayes	
Facility Contact:	Enforcement Contacts:	
Lee Turner	Bob Webber Phone Number: (913)551-7251 Alan Hancock Phone Number: (913)551-7647	
(Bulk Storage Facilities)  GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d)  (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,000.00.)		
No Spill Prevention Control and Countermea	sure Plan \$1,000.00	
Plan not certified by a professional engineer		
No management approval of plan		
Plan not available for review		
Plan not maintained on site (applies if facility	is manned at least eight (8) hours per day) 100.00	
	wner/operator 50.00	
No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had:		
Amendment(s) not certified by a professional	engineer 100.00	
<del></del>	ure which could result in discharges	
	t/diversionary structures/equipment	

Clai	ming installation of appropriate containment/diversionary structures is impractical but:		
	No contingency plan\$100.00		
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials		
Written Procedures and Inspection Records 112.7(e)(8)			
	Inspections required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility		
X	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not signed by facility supervisor		
	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not made part of the plan		
	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not maintained for three years		
Personnel Training and Spill Prevention Procedures 112.7(e)(10)			
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges		
X	No training on the applicable laws, rules, and regulations		
X	No designated person responsible for spill prevention		
X	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted periodically		
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel training and spill prevention procedures 50.00		
FACILITY DRAINAGE, ONSHORE (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(1)			
	Valves used to drain diked areas are not of manual, open-and-closed design (note: flapper-type valves should not be used)		
	Pumps or ejectors not manually activated when diked storage areas drained		
	Drainage from undiked areas not into ponds, lagoons, or catchment basins, or no diversion systems to return spills to the facility		
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage		
BULK STORAGE TANKS (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(2)			
	Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the material stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature		
	Secondary containment appears to be grossly inadequate		
	Materials of construction are not sufficiently impervious		
	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity of the containment system		
	Walls of containment system are slightly eroded or have low areas		

Wh	When drainage from diked areas is to a storm drain, open water course, or lake or pond:		
	Bypass valve not normally sealed closed		
	Runoff rain water not inspected and/or will cause a harmful discharge as defined in 40 CFR 110 300.00		
	Bypass valve is not opened and resealed under responsible supervision		
	Adequate records of drainage events are not maintained		
	Underground tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing 100.00		
	Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion		
X	Aboveground tanks not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as visual, hydrostatic, and nondestructive methods, etc		
	Outside of tank not frequently observed for signs of deterioration, leaks which might cause a spill, or accumulation of oil inside diked area		
	Steam return /exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system		
	Records of inspections of aboveground tanks are not maintained		
	Tanks are not "fail-safe" engineered:		
	No audible or visual high liquid level alarm, or		
	No high-level pump cutoff devices set to stop flow at a predetermined tank content level, or 300.00		
	No direct communications between tank gauger and pumping station, or		
	No fast response system for determining liquid levels, such as computers, telepulse or direct vision gauges		
	No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation		
	Disposal facilities which discharge plant effluents directly to navigable waters are not monitored frequently to detect oil spills		
	Visible oil leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected 300.00		
	Mobile or portable storage tanks are not positioned to prevent spilled oil from reaching navigable water, or are in area subject to flooding		
	Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks		
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks		
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND IN-PLANT PROCESSES, ONSHORE (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(3)			
	Buried piping not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection 100.00		
	Corrective action not taken on buried piping when corrosion damage found		
X	Terminal connections at transfer points on not-in-service or standby pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin		

	Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction			
	Aboveground valves and pipelines are not inspected regularly			
	Periodic pressure testing of the valves and pipelines is not conducted			
	Vehicle traffic not warned verbally or by appropriate signs of aboveground piping			
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and in-plant processes 50.00			
FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING RACK, ONSHORE 112.7(e)(4)				
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system			
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck			
	There is no interlocked warning light, physical barrier system, or warning signs to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines			
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck			
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack 50.00			
SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(9)				
	Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production			
$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow of tank's contents to the surface are not secured in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status			
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status			
	Loading and unloading connection(s) of pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service. 50.00			
	Facility lighting not commensurate with the type and location of facility to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism			
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security			

**TOTAL** \$\frac{1050.00}{2000}

## IN THE MATTER OF Nebraska City Power Plant #1, Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2003-0118

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Expedited SPCC Settlement Agreement was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy hand delivered to Attorney for Complainant:

Kristina Kemp Assistant Regional Counsel Region VII United States Environmental Protection Agency 901 N. 5<sup>th</sup> Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Copy by Certified Mail Return Receipt to:

Lee Turner, Operations Superintendent Nebraska City Power Plant #1 100 Central Avenue Nebraska City, Nebraska 68410

Copy by First Class Mail to:

US. Coast Guard Finance Center (OGR) 1430A Kristina Way Chesapeake, VA 23326

Dated: 8/7/03

Kathy Robinson

Regional Hearing Clerk